



SEMINÁŘ LOGIKY SEMINAR OF LOGIC

Göran Sundholm (Leiden University)

“Inference versus Consequence” revisited revisited: Steps towards The current account of the Validity of Inference

- The blindness of consequence (Prawitz 1974, 1985)
- The difference between inference and consequence (Sundholm 1997)
- Epistemic assumptions (Sundholm 1997)
- The vacuous validity of inferences with contradictory premises (Prawitz 2009)
- Validity as transmission of knowledge leads to circular definition of demonstration (Martin-Löf 2009)
- “When I say Therefore I give others permission to assert the conclusion on my authority, given theirs for asserting the premises” (Sundholm 2009)
- Breaking the circularity by a dialogical distinction between two kinds of knowledge (Martin-Löf 2014), namely
- Assertoric versus apodictic knowledge (Klev 2022).

Monday 17/04/2023 | 14.00 CET

Meeting room, Institute of Philosophy CAS, Jilská 1, Prague 1