Method as Mythic Speech: A Challenge in the Historiography of Science—Descartes and Beyond

Dr. John A. Schuster, FAHA School of History Philosophy of Science & Sydney Centre for the Foundations of Science and Campion College, Sydney

Abstract: The *Regulae* and *Discourse on Method* teach a method that cannot work but which has persuaded many that it can—arguably including Descartes himself, at least up until the collapse of the *Regulae* in 1628. The problem, however, is more general, pertaining not just to Descartes' method but to any of the grand methods that have been proclaimed to be universal, efficacious and transferable: methods of Newton, Popper, Lakatos and others. All suffer from the same problem: Such methods consists of a type of discursive structure which [a] prevents the method from actually being efficacious; yet, [b] creates powerful literary effects (illusions) that the method is efficacious, progressive and transferable.

- 1.0 The Cult of Method in the History of Science and Cartesian Studies
- 2.0. Toward Methodological Atheism: Koyré, Bachelard and Kuhn on the History of Science
- 3.0 The Way Forward: Between Naïve Belief and Pure Debunking
- 4.0 Descartes' Method as Mythic Speech: Where 'Myth' is not a Colloquial Term of Abuse
- 5.0 The Failure of Adequate Redescription: An Example of Descartes Attempting to 'Methodologize' a Field of Inquiry
- 6.0 The Structural Levels and Underlying Metaphors in Descartes' [or Anybody's] Method Discourse
- 7. The First Two Structural 'Effects': Adequate Redescription' and 'Application'
- 8. The Third and Fourth 'Effects': the 'Unity' and 'Progress' of a Method Discourse
- 9.0 The Rhetorical/Political Use of Method-Talk Inside Actual Scientific Practice

References:

- J.A. Schuster (1984) 'Methodologies as Mythic Structures: A Preface to the Future Historiography of Method', *Metascience: Review of the Australasian Assoc. for the History, Philosophy and Social Studies of Science* 1-2: 15-36.
- J.A. Schuster (1986) 'Cartesian Method as Mythic Speech: A Diachronic and Structural Analysis', in *The Politics and Rhetoric of Scientific Method*. Eds. J.A. Schuster and R.R. Yeo. Dordrecht, D. Reidel: 33-95.
- J.A. Schuster (1993) 'Whatever Should We Do with Cartesian Method: Reclaiming Descartes for the History of Science', in *Essays on the Philosophy and Science of René Descartes*. Ed. S. Voss. Oxford, OUP: 195-223.
- J.A. Schuster & Evelleen Richards (1989) 'The Myth of Feminine Method: A Challenge for Gender Studies and the Social Studies of Science', *Social Studies of Science* 19: 697-720.
- J.A. Schuster (2013) Descartes-agonistes: Physico-mathematics, Corpuscular-mechanism and Method, 1618-33. pp. 8-10, 70-76, 215-20, 265-303.